

REMARKS

In Paragraph 1 of the Office Action dated September 12, 2005, claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicant amends claim 1 to delete the indefinite phrase "one or more" resins and insert the definite phrase "a resin". Applicant submits that claim 1 is now in proper Markush form.

In Paragraph 2 of the Office Action, claims 10-17 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite due to use of the same phrase "one or more" resins. Applicant amends claim 10 in the same manner as claim 1 to delete the indefinite phrase. Claim 10 is now in proper Markush form.

In Paragraph 4 of the Office Action, claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite due to use of the phrase "one or more" resins. Applicant amends claim 15 to remove the indefinite language in the same manner as the amendments to claims 1 and 10. Claim 15 is now in proper Markush form.

In Paragraph 6 of the Office Action, claims 2-9 and 11-17 were indicated to be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C., 2nd paragraph, set forth in the Office Action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant submits that in view of the amendments to claims 1, 10 and 15, no further amendments to claims 2-9 and 11-17 are required, since all of the underlying rejections with respect to the base claims have been overcome.

In view of the above amendment and remarks, applicant respectfully requests that this application be reconsidered and that the claims, as amended, be allowed. The amendments are appropriate after final rejection, since they place the claims in a condition for allowance and do not raise any new issues that would require a further search.

It should be noted that an Advisory Action dated October 20, 2005, was issued in response to a Corrected Amendment that was received by the USPTO on September 15, 2005. The Corrected Amendment was filed by applicant in response to an Office Communication (20050705) that was dated August 17, 2005. The Corrected Amendment was directed to amending the claims in response to the Office Action dated December 15, 2004 and not the Final Office Action dated September 12, 2005.

It appears to applicant that that the Final Office Action dated September 12, 2005 and the Corrected Amendment, which applicant also placed in the mail on the same date, crossed in the mail so that it appeared that applicant's Corrected Amendment was responding to the Final Office Action. The present Amendment is the first communication by applicant that responds to the Final Office Action dated September 12, 2005. Accordingly, applicant submits that the Advisory Action dated October 20, 2005, should be withdrawn.

Please charge any deficiency in the enclosed fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 082060 in the name of HEXCEL Corporation.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 02, 2005

/David J. Oldenkamp/

David J. Oldenkamp, Reg. #29,421
HEXCEL CORPORATION
11711 Dublin Boulevard
Dublin, California 94568
(925) 551-4900 x 4394 (Telephone)
(925) 828-3213 (Facsimile)